

Message

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary [Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 5/28/2019 5:31:06 PM  
**To:** Scheifele, Hans [Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov]; Henry, Tala [Henry.Tala@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT DUE at 1pm TODAY - No Exceptions -EPA-wide Comments FW: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Thanks.

---

**From:** Scheifele, Hans  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 1:29 PM  
**To:** Hanley, Mary <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>; Henry, Tala <Henry.Tala@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT DUE at 1pm TODAY - No Exceptions -EPA-wide Comments FW: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

I skimmed some of them briefly and did not have concerns. There are a lot of comments from OECA that we aren't in a position to review as they pertain to legal/statutory/regulatory comments on OW and CERCLA language as well as comments on factual representations regarding issues that OPPT does not have awareness of. Given the timeframe I don't believe we will be able to provide a substantive review.

Hans

---

**Hans Scheifele**  
Special Assistant  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics  
William Jefferson Clinton Building - East, MC7401M  
Washington DC 20460  
202.564.3122 (office) | [Scheifele.hans@epa.gov](mailto:Scheifele.hans@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 12:34 PM  
**To:** Scheifele, Hans <[Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov](mailto:Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov)>; Henry, Tala <[Henry.Tala@epa.gov](mailto:Henry.Tala@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT DUE at 1pm TODAY - No Exceptions -EPA-wide Comments FW: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Yes, does OPPT have any comments or concerns with the other office's comments?

---

**From:** Scheifele, Hans  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 12:16 PM  
**To:** Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>; Henry, Tala <[Henry.Tala@epa.gov](mailto:Henry.Tala@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT DUE at 1pm TODAY - No Exceptions -EPA-wide Comments FW: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Hi Mary,

I'm unclear about the ask as the OCSPP comments have already been conveyed and are included in this document. Are you asking us to review the comments made by OW, OLEM and OECA by 1pm today?

Thanks,  
Hans

---

**Hans Scheifele**

Special Assistant

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics

William Jefferson Clinton Building - East, MC7401M

Washington DC 20460

202.564.3122 (office) | [Scheifele.hans@epa.gov](mailto:Scheifele.hans@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 12:09 PM

**To:** Scheifele, Hans <[Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov](mailto:Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov)>; Henry, Tala <[Henry.Tala@epa.gov](mailto:Henry.Tala@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** URGENT DUE at 1pm TODAY - No Exceptions -EPA-wide Comments FW: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

**Importance:** High

Hi. Please send any comments by 1PM to allow for review in the IO. The IO must send comments out by 2PM today! This is the comprehensive set of EPA comments which we have not yet seen. Our comments have been included under Elizabeth Skane's name with the exception of Q20 where we suggested OLEM review because they have reviewed. Also, OW has a comment along with our comment to Q15. Thanks.

M

---

**From:** Skane, Elizabeth

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:56 AM

**To:** Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>; Spraul, Greg <[Spraul.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Spraul.Greg@epa.gov)>; Keller, Melanie <[Keller.Melanie@epa.gov](mailto:Keller.Melanie@epa.gov)>; Linkins, Samantha <[Linkins.Samantha@epa.gov](mailto:Linkins.Samantha@epa.gov)>; Tyree, JamesN <[tyree.jamesn@epa.gov](mailto:tyree.jamesn@epa.gov)>; Folkemer, Nathaniel <[Folkemer.Nathaniel@epa.gov](mailto:Folkemer.Nathaniel@epa.gov)>; Lubetsky, Jonathan <[Lubetsky.Jonathan@epa.gov](mailto:Lubetsky.Jonathan@epa.gov)>; Hubbard, Carolyn <[Hubbard.Carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:Hubbard.Carolyn@epa.gov)>; Keller, Kaitlin <[keller.kaitlin@epa.gov](mailto:keller.kaitlin@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Kaiser, Sven-Erik <[Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov](mailto:Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov)>; Moody, Christina <[Moody.Christina@epa.gov](mailto:Moody.Christina@epa.gov)>; Frye, Tony (Robert) <[frye.robert@epa.gov](mailto:frye.robert@epa.gov)>; Haman, Patricia <[Haman.Patricia@epa.gov](mailto:Haman.Patricia@epa.gov)>; Levine, Carolyn <[Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Hi all, here is an updated document, which includes OW's, OECA's, OCSPP's, and OLEM's comments (*OLEM's I already had and missed including previously—my fault*). The latter two sets were not included in my last version, and they are substantive, so please have a look. OECA and OLEM have a number of similar comments and I retained edits that address the comments.

Sorry for any confusion and thanks to all for your work on this!

Best,  
Elizabeth

Elizabeth Skane ♦ 202.564.5696

US Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Congressional Affairs

WJC North 34435

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:29 AM

**To:** Skane, Elizabeth <Skane.Elizabeth@epa.gov>; Spraul, Greg <Spraul.Greg@epa.gov>; Keller, Melanie <Keller.Melanie@epa.gov>; Linkins, Samantha <Linkins.Samantha@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>; Folkemer, Nathaniel <Folkemer.Nathaniel@epa.gov>; Lubetsky, Jonathan <Lubetsky.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Hubbard, Carolyn <Hubbard.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Kaiser, Sven-Erik <Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov>; Moody, Christina <Moody.Christina@epa.gov>; Frye, Tony (Robert) <frye.robert@epa.gov>; Haman, Patricia <Haman.Patricia@epa.gov>; Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Hello. Attached please find some OCSPP's specific comments. Also, below are some broader comments for your consideration. Thank you for the opportunity to review. Let me know of any questions.

M

## Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

We would also note that there seems to potentially a difference in Maureen's March 2019 and September 2018 testimony with regard to which PFAS are still active in commerce for AFFF. AFFF containing PFOS and PFOA, other than in potential trace amounts, is no longer manufactured or available for purchase in the United States. However, existing stocks of AFFF may contain PFOS and, in some formulations, PFOA. See the comparison below.

From September 2018: <https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Sullivan%20Testimony.pdf>

DoD's limited use of PFAS started in the 1970s, with the introduction of AFFF for aircraft fuel fire-fighting purposes. AFFF may contain PFOS and, in some formulations, PFOA. AFFF is mission-critical because it quickly extinguishes petroleum-based fires, which is why the Federal Aviation Administration has also adopted its use at airports nationally. AFFF containing PFOS, other than in potential trace amounts, is no longer manufactured or available for purchase in the United States, although legacy stocks of these AFFF remain.

From March 2019:

[https://www.epw.senate.gov/public/\\_cache/files/6/7/67c68fd5-51cc-428a-84b3-](https://www.epw.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/6/7/67c68fd5-51cc-428a-84b3-d1e5ba24003a/A3FA1B8E226E7F10059BAF4452A4A938.sullivan-testimony-03.28.2019.pdf)

[d1e5ba24003a/A3FA1B8E226E7F10059BAF4452A4A938.sullivan-testimony-03.28.2019.pdf](https://www.epw.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/6/7/67c68fd5-51cc-428a-84b3-d1e5ba24003a/A3FA1B8E226E7F10059BAF4452A4A938.sullivan-testimony-03.28.2019.pdf)

DoD's limited use of PFAS started in the 1970s, with the introduction of AFFF for aircraft fuel fire-fighting purposes. Current sales of AFFF may contain PFOS and, in some formulations, PFOA. AFFF is mission-critical because it quickly extinguishes petroleum-based fires, which is why the Federal Aviation Administration also adopted its use at airports nationally. AFFF containing PFOS, other than in potential trace amounts, is no longer manufactured or available for purchase in the United States, although legacy stocks of these AFFF remain.

---

**From:** Skane, Elizabeth

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 21, 2019 1:40 PM

**To:** Spraul, Greg <Spraul.Greg@epa.gov>; Keller, Melanie <Keller.Melanie@epa.gov>; Linkins, Samantha <Linkins.Samantha@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>; Folkemer, Nathaniel <Folkemer.Nathaniel@epa.gov>; Lubetsky, Jonathan <Lubetsky.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Hubbard, Carolyn <Hubbard.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Kaiser, Sven-Erik <Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov>; Moody, Christina <Moody.Christina@epa.gov>; Frye, Tony (Robert) <frye.robert@epa.gov>; Haman, Patricia <Haman.Patricia@epa.gov>; Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>

**Subject:** For review, due COB Thurs 5/23 -- DEFENSE Questions for the Record on SEPW PFAS Hearing from March 28

Hi PFAS team, we've got DOD QFRs for review from the 3/28 hearing (*in overlap with the CDC and NIH ones due tomorrow—thanks for your work on those*). Please send me any comments/suggested edits by **COB Thursday 5/23**. OMB's note:

Attached are draft responses by the Department of Defense to Questions for the Record following the March 28, 2019 hearing before the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee on DoD's actions to address the presence of PFAS substances. I also am attaching a copy of the final testimony for your reference.

Please provide your edits and comments by the deadline above. If you do not respond by the deadline, I will assume that you have no comments.

Thanks,  
Elizabeth

Elizabeth Skane ♦ 202.564.5696  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
WJC North 3443S